

**FILED**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**MAY 22 2006**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

JASPAL SINGH; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-74207

Agency Nos. A77-819-214  
A77-819-215  
A77-819-216  
A77-819-217  
A77-819-218

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted May 15, 2006<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: B. FLETCHER, TROTT, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Jaspal Singh, and his wife, and their three children, natives and citizens of India, petition for review of the order of the Board of Immigration Appeals summarily affirming an immigration judge's ("IJ") order denying their application for asylum and withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C.

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

§ 1252. We review for substantial evidence, and we reverse only if the evidence compels a contrary conclusion. *Lata v. INS*, 204 F.3d 1241, 1244 (9th Cir. 2000). We deny the petition for review.

In denying asylum, the IJ concluded, *inter alia*, that Singh did not meet his burden of providing direct and specific evidence with regard to the events leading up to his departure from India. The record does not compel the opposite result. *See Prasad v. INS*, 47 F.3d 336, 338 (9th Cir. 1995).

Because Singh failed to establish eligibility for asylum, he necessarily failed to establish eligibility for withholding of removal. *See Alvarez-Santos v. INS*, 332 F.3d 1245, 1255 (9th Cir. 2003).

We do not consider the IJ's denial of Singh's request for relief under the Convention Against Torture. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259 (9th Cir. 1996) ("Issues raised in a brief that are not supported by argument are deemed abandoned.").

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**